Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,858	HERSLOF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)	
(2) Edward Mielman (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	/	
Claims discussed: 1, 32	· .	
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE It was agreed to amend claim 1 and cancel claim 32 since it is a for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a wr	itten summary of the substance ne interview since the interview
·		
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature if appropriate)
(Applical	ivapplicant's Representative	oignature – ii appropriate)